

Reconstructing Historic Glacier States Based on Terrestrial Oblique Photographs

Samuel Wiesmann, Ladina Steiner, Milo Pozzi, Claudio Bozzini, Lorenz Hurni

ABSTRACT: The analysis of past glacier states is of high importance when attempting to forecast future glacier evolution. Observations of the frontal position have been carried out systematically in the Swiss Alps and worldwide since the end of the 19th century. The approach presented here aims to merge all available sources of information on frontal positions into one consistent, georeferenced database. All available original field data of Rhonegletscher is reworked and historic photographs are georeferenced using the monoplotted technique to complete and control the dataset. More than 100 states could be collected. The results are presented not only in a map, but also in an interactive oblique image.

KEYWORDS: Glacier, reconstruction, monoplotted, length change records, oblique view, 3-dimensional mapping.

Introduction

The measurement and documentation of length fluctuations of glaciers have a long tradition and are carried out annually on many glaciers in Switzerland (Glaciological reports, 1881-2011). Due to the relatively simple nature of this measurement, long data series have been collected. However, for many years only measurements to local reference points were reported and no georeferenced coordinates exist. Many records cover inhomogeneities caused by the dislocation of such reference points, interruptions in long-term measurement campaign, changes in applied measurement method, or changing personnel. Resulting cumulative length change over long periods therefore tends to show large discrepancies to known front positions from existing landmarks or different sources.

Information about glacier states is also stored in terrestrial images, which can be found in good quality even from the second half of 19th century. Such images can be used to control or calibrate length change records. However, the use of historical pictures for accurate analysis of fluctuations of the glacier tongue or calibrating existing records requires georeferencing. This can be achieved using the monoplotted technique and one method of doing so is also described in this paper.

Data and study site

Rhonegletscher (46°36' N, 8°23' E) is a valley glacier located in the central Swiss Alps. In 2012, it covers an area of about 16 km² with an elevation range from 2200 to 3600 m a.s.l. As most of the alpine glaciers, Rhonegletscher is retreating significantly

since the middle of 19th century (Glaciological reports, 1881-2011). For the study presented here, all different available sources of information on documented frontal positions of Rhonegletscher are collected. The most important kinds of these sources are described in the following.

Furkapass is a high mountain pass and makes the area of the glacier tongue relatively easily accessible. Already in the 15th century intense trade and traffic over the pass is documented (Stadler, 2006). Combined with a good sight from the road onto the glacier and the spectacular icefall at Hotel Belvédère in particular, the area became a tourist attraction already in the early 19th century. Therefore, a large number of old terrestrial photographs and paintings exist, which show the tongue of Rhonegletscher or parts of it (e.g. Dumoulin et al., 2010). Figure 1a shows such a picture, which documents the glacier state of the year 1898.

Starting in 1874, an extensive and long-term monitoring program was initiated (Mercanton, 1916). The data collected over the years is recorded in field reports and special maps (Figure 1b & 1c).




Figure 1: Different sources for historic glacier states: Historic photograph (1a), field measurement report (1b), special map from field campaign (1c), and official Swiss national map (1d).

Another reliable source is the official Swiss national map series, first published and regularly updated in scale 1:50 000 as from the 1930s (Figure 1d). Starting in the 1950s, smaller and larger scales completed the map collection. These historic maps store important information of the past in general. Regarding glaciers, not only the

glacier extent, but also the shape of the glacier surface with elevation information can be reconstructed (e.g. Bauder et al., 2007). In connection with the production of the map series, aerial images were acquired by the Federal Office of Topography swisstopo. The first available aerial orthophotograph of Rhonegletscher is from 1959, followed by newer images in irregular intervals of a few years until today.

Methods

In order to achieve one consistent database of georeferenced information about the development of the frontal position of Rhonegletscher over the years, several methods are deployed, depending on the type of input data source. These methods are described in the following sections.

Monoplotting principle for georeferencing historic photographs

Single images can be georeferenced, under certain conditions, using the monoplotting principle (e.g. Konecny, 2003, Rady, 1984). Beside the oblique image, the following data is required to complete georeferencing successfully: a georeferenced map or orthophotograph, a digital elevation model (DEM), control points, and the camera parameters. However, oblique photographs are usually neither georeferenced nor do they have known geographical or optical camera parameters, such as camera position, viewing direction, image center, or focal length.

The unknown camera parameters can be approximated using an iterative camera calibration algorithm, though. The goal is to place the image and the camera in world space, so that a ray from the camera intersects both, a point on the image as well as the DEM at the corresponding real point (Figure 2). Such corresponding control points must be visible in the oblique image and on the orthophotograph. Several pairs of control points enable the calibration and the reconstruction of the camera parameters, particularly, the camera position and orientation.

Once the camera is successfully calibrated, oblique image and orthophotograph are bidirectionally linked in a way, that each image pixel has its corresponding pixel on the orthophotograph and on the map respectively: the oblique image is georeferenced. This allows digitizing, e.g. the position of the glacier tongue, directly in the oblique image, whereby the digitized polyline is stored in real world coordinates synchronously. Several images from different years allow for a collection of glacier states, which can be stored in one spatial database.




Figure 2: Schematic representation of the system elements of monoplottting: Camera, oblique photograph, DEM, and the real world.

Only a few software solutions have been developed for georeferencing terrestrial, single images on the basis of the monoplottting technique, e.g. OP-XFORM (Doytsher and Hall, 1995), the Georeferencing oblique terrestrial photography (Corripio, 2004), and others. However, this software is very technical and only usable for specialists.

The lack of user-friendly and intuitive software on the one side, and the increasing general interest of using historic images for studies on the other side (e.g. Roush et al., 2007, Kull, 2005), is the reason why the “WSL monoplottting tool” is being developed since 2009 (Bozzini et al., 2011). This software makes use of the principle described above and further insight is given in the results section below. **Figure 3** shows the user interface of the software after a successful georeferencing of a historic photograph. The oblique image and the aerial orthophotograph are linked and drawing an object in one of the pictures simultaneously displays this object in the other. The yellow-red circles symbolize control points used for georeferencing.




Figure 3: User interface of the WSL monoplotting tool: successfully georeferenced historic oblique photograph (top right) and respective, linked orthophotograph (bottom right).

Frontal positions from field reports and maps

The reports and maps from the field campaigns (Figure 1b and 1c) are drawn on paper. Some of them are published (e.g. Mercanton, 1916), other originals are available from the archives of the glaciological commission (SANW, 2001). All of them have been scanned and georeferenced for this study. All documents provide information, which allows georeferencing in one way or another. Some of them contain reference points in the projected coordinate system that is still in use in Switzerland today. This is the simplest form and standard georeferencing methods can be used for these maps.

The older maps use a slightly different definition of the reference system. However, it is also a projected orthogonal grid, based on the same fundamental point as today, but its assigned values were 0/0 instead of 600000/200000 today, what requires a translation only. The heights must be used with special care, because the height of the fundamental point for height measurement changed several times and more than 3 meters since the 1840s (Swisstopo, 2010, Mercanton, 1916, p.34).

Most unpublished field reports contain local reference points, which have no georeferenced coordinates, and a baseline, which was used as local reference for the measurements for many years. Based on other field notes, it was possible to project this baseline on a georeferenced map, what enabled the georeferencing of these field reports.

The historic maps from the Swiss national map series are provided by the public authority directly as georeferenced raster images.

For this study, all the georeferenced data is transformed, if necessary, and kept in only one current reference system (CH1903-LV03). Once these maps and field reports are

georeferenced, the glacier boundary is digitized manually and stored in the same spatial database as the states derived from the historic photographs.

Reanalysis of glacier length change records

The availability of all glacier states in one consistent spatial database provides an overview of the glacier length fluctuations. A systematic re-interpretation of these fluctuations along a central longitudinal profile is achieved. For the individual states between 1879 and 2010, this re-interpretation is compared to the published length change results in the Glaciological reports (1881-2011). In years where oblique photographs and other reliable data about the position are available, the accuracy was evaluated.

Results

The result section contains two main parts. In the first part, the accuracy of results obtained by using the monoplottting tool is analyzed. The second part shows a reanalysis of the collected glacier length change records.

Accuracy analysis of the monoplottting results

In some years, the frontal position is available from different sources. This allows for a direct comparison of the results in order to assess the reliability of the different methods. In the following, the results of the comparison for the years 1874 and 1929 are discussed.

For both years, oblique photographs in good resolution as well as accurate maps are available (these photographs are shown in **Figure 11** in low resolution). **Figure 4 and 5** show the frontal position reconstructed from maps in red, and reconstructed from historic photographs in yellow.

The photograph from 1874 does not cover the glacier terminus. That's why the results have big discrepancies in **Figure 4** at the lowest end of the glacier (marked with a **C**). Therefore, this part is not included in the further analysis. In the area visible on the photograph, the two lines have an average distance of **xx** meters.

Two reasons can be imagined where the differences in area **A** (up to 70m) could originate from. The first is the "flat angle problem": in this area, the angle of incidence of the ray of the camera with the DEM is small. A small shift in the image space leads to a large error in real world space. This is one of the standard problems that can occur when applying the monoplottting principle. The second reason could be more operator-dependent: the glacier is heavily debris-covered in this area, what makes the definition of the glacier boundary ambiguous.

The diverging results in area B could be explained with a "hidden area". The real glacier boundary is not visible from the camera position, because it is hidden by parts of the glacier (and DEM respectively), which are closer to the camera position. This is a second standard error source of the monoplottting technique.




Figure 4: Frontal position in the year 1874 from different sources. Yellow line obtained by evaluating a historical photograph, red line by georeferencing and digitizing a special map from field campaign. Basemap from 1999.

The average distance between the resulting frontal positions for the year 1929 is xx meters (Figure 5). Largest differences (D, E, both up to 40m) occur in areas with very high slope values. The underlying DEM may be inaccurate and cause errors.




Figure 5: Frontal position in the year 1929 from different sources. Yellow line obtained by evaluating a historical photograph, red line by georeferencing and digitizing a map. Basemap from 1999.

For the year 2010, the data available allowed a calibration of the camera with an oblique photograph, an orthophotograph, and a DEM from exactly the same day. In particular, this facilitates the setting of control points, because control points can easier be identified in both pictures and can also be set on the glacier surface.

Furthermore, the DEM represents exactly the shape visible on the photo. These specific circumstances support a good calibration of the camera and the average distance between the resulting positions could be reduced to **xx meters**.

Tests showed that the camera calibration can be improved, if the accurate position of considered control points is known from field survey. However, it was not possible to compare the resulting frontal positions in this case, because the border of the glacier is not clearly visible on the used photographs.

Reanalysis of glacier length change records

For the years 1959 and 1980, the frontal position could be derived from two different and independent sources and independent from the monoplottting technique. In both years, digitized positions from original field reports and a photogrammetrical analysis of aerial orthophotographs are available for comparison (**Figure 6**). They have been recorded with about one week time lag, what could explain a small part of the deviations. However, the overall shape fits quite well, even though they differ on both sides to some extent. In the year 1959, the average distance between the two lines is 11.2m and 7.8m for the year 1980.




Figure 6: Comparison of frontal position derived from field reports and from aerial images for 1959 (left) and 1980 (right).

A total of 104 georeferenced frontal positions have been collected so far, which cover the time period from 1602 until 2010. For the first time, they are available in one homogenous dataset. This enables an interpretation of the length fluctuation over more than 400 years. The analysis of glacier length change is conducted along one central longitudinal profile. This flowline and a selection of the collected glacier states are displayed in **Figure 7**. During the entire time period, Rhonegletscher retreated about 2600m.




Figure 7: Selected historic glacier states of Rhonegletscher between 1602 and 2010 and central flowline (red) used for reanalysis of length change.

For the time period from 1879 until 2010 (131 years), the reanalyzed length changes are compared to the published values in the Glaciological reports (1881-2011). The cumulative length change of 1539m deviates by 273m from the values reported so far (Figure 8). The evolution of differences between the two cumulative length changes can be roughly divided into two periods with different trends: 1879 until 1910 and 1910 until 2010 (green graph in Figure 7). Most of the deviation accumulates in the first period. During the second period, the curves fit very well: the mean difference in this second part is only 0.8m.




Figure 8: Cumulative length change based on glacier database as published in glaciological reports (blue) and after reanalysis (red) for the period 1879 until 2010; Difference between these two length changes (green).

Visualization

Beside standard visualization of the resulting frontal positions on a static 2D map as shown for example in [Figure 7](#), selected glacier states are also visualized on the georeferenced oblique images. It is expected that this makes interpretation more intuitive, especially for non-experts, because results are shown in a familiar view of the environment. One Example is shown in [Figure 9 below](#).




Figure 9: Oblique view on Rhonegletscher visualizing selected glacier states between 1874 and 2010 (Pozzi, 2012; Photographer: Geiger, 1962).

A web-based prototype was also developed, using a subset of the glacier states (Steiner, 2012). It combines the interactive visualization on a 2D map and on an oblique photograph of the glacier. The user can switch between the 2D mode (Figure 10) and the oblique view mode (Figure 11) by clicking a radio button. Several maps and aerial orthophotographs are available for background visualization in 2D.

By clicking on one of the visualized frontal positions, additional meta-information is available and the respective historic photograph is displayed in a separate window (Figure 11).

A revised version of the prototype with extended functionality is currently under development.




Figure 10: Prototype of interactive web-based visualization: 2D mode (Steiner, 2012).




Figure 11: Prototype of interactive web-based visualization: oblique view mode with a photograph from 1874 in the main window and one from 1929 in the pop-up window (Steiner, 2012).

Conclusions

A substantial amount of terrestrial images can be found in good quality even from the second half of 19th century. Using the WSL monoplottting tool, such images can easily be georeferenced and evaluated. The accuracy achieved is good enough for many fields of application. From the glaciological perspective, glacier states can be reconstructed e.g. for long ranging time series.

This study aims to merge all available sources of information on frontal positions into one consistent, georeferenced database. The data series available now covers a time period of more than 400 years. It reaches further back in time and contains 104 georeferenced glacier states. The cumulative length change for the period since 1879 deviates about 270m (20%) from the values used so far. The sources and methods presented here deliver reliable results, what is shown for several selected years.

The available database allows the visualization in one map or in an interactive, web-based tool. This encourages specialists, glaciologists in this case, to present their results of investigations to the public, what may support the dialog between public and experts.

References

- Bauder, A. Funk, M. and Huss, M. (2007) Ice-volume changes of selected glaciers in the Swiss Alps since the end of the 19th century. *Annals of Glaciology*. 46, pp. 145-149.
- Bozzini, C. Conedera, M. and Krebs, P. (2011) Ein neues Tool zur Georeferenzierung und Interpretation von terrestrischen Schrägbildern. *e-geo.ch Newsletter*. 27, pp. 16-17.
- Corripio, J.G. (2004) Snow albedo estimation using terrestrial photography. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25, 24, pp. 5705-5729.
- Doytsher, Y. and Hall, J.K. (1995) Fortran programs for coordinate resection using an oblique photograph and high-resolution DTM. *Computers & Geosciences*, 21, 7, pp. 895-905.
- Dumoulin, H. Zryd, A. and Crispini, N. (2010) *Glaciers – Passé-présent du Rhône au Mont-Blanc*. Éditions Slatkine.
- Glaciological reports (1881-2011) *The Swiss Glaciers*, Yearbooks of the Cryospheric Commission of the Swiss Academy of Sciences (SCNAT), No. 1-128, (<http://glaciology.ethz.ch/swiss-glaciers/>).

- Konecny, G. (2003) *Geoinformation: Remote Sensing, Photogrammetry and Geographical Information Systems*. London: Taylor and Francis.
- Kull, C.A. (2005) Historical landscape repeat photography as a tool for land use change research. *Norwegian Journal of Geography*, 59, pp. 253-268.
- Mercanton, P.L. (1916) *Vermessungen am Rhonegletscher 1874-1915*. Neue Denkschriften der Schweizerischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, Vol 52.
- Pozzi, M. (2012) *Rekonstruktion von Gletscherzungenständen aus terrestrischen Bildern und Sammlung der historischen Längenänderung am Rhonegletscher*. Bachelor thesis, Institute of Cartography and Geoinformation ETH Zürich.
- Rady, J. (1984) *Die dritte Dimension aus einem Einzelbild*. Mitteilungen Blaue Reihe, Nr 36, Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry IGP, ETH Zurich.
- Roush, W. Munroe, J.S. and Fagre, D.B. (2007) Development of a spatial analysis method using ground-based repeat photography to detect changes in the alpine treeline ecotone, Glacier National Park, Montana, U.S.A. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research*, 39, 2, pp. 297-308.
- SANW (2001) *Archiv der Glaziologischen Kommission der SANW*. Verzeichnis der Geschäftsakten, Berichte, Pläne usw. des Gletscherkollegiums und der Gletscherkommission von 1870 bis ca. 1955 (Rechnung bis 1963, Protokolle bis 1984) Glaziologische Kommission der SANW. ETH-Bibliothek, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-004190495>, last visited 8/13/2012.
- Stadler, H. (2006) Furkapass. In: *Historisches Lexikon der Schweiz (HLS)*, Band 5, pp. 29-30. Basel: Schwabe Verlag.
- Steiner, L. (2012) *Reconstruction of glacier states from geo-referenced, historical postcards*. Master thesis, Institute of Cartography and Geoinformation ETH Zürich.
- Swisstopo (2010) *Historical Swiss reference systems*, <http://www.swisstopo.admin.ch/internet/swisstopo/en/home/topics/survey/sys/refsys/historical.html>, last visited 7/23/2012.

Image sources: Fig. 1a: Bildarchiv ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, <http://ba.e-pics.ethz.ch>. Fig. 1d: reproduced with the authorisation of swisstopo (JA100120), Bundesamt für Landestopografie swisstopo (Art. 30 GeoIV): 5704 000 000.

Samuel Wiesmann, dipl. geogr., Institute of Cartography and Geoinformation, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 15, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland. Email <swiesmann@ethz.ch>

Lorenz Hurni, Professor, Institute of Cartography and Geoinformation, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 15, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland. Email <lhurni@ethz.ch>

Claudio Bozzini, Dipl. Math. ETH, Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Via Belsoggiorno 22, 6500 Bellinzona, Switzerland. Email <claudio.bozzini@wsl.ch>